

**Board of Okanogan County Commissioners' Notes  
6.29/16**

***Content: Risk Pool Director Presents Status of Risk Pool/Okanogan County - Data current through 4.29.16; Finance committee meeting: discussion of budget status among Leah McCormack, Board of Commissioners, Perry Huston, others.***

***Present:***

***Jim Detro (JD)***

***Sheilah Kennedy (SK)***

***Ray Campbell (RC)***

***Derek Bryan: Risk Pool Manger***

***Perry Huston - PH (Director of Planning and Development)***

***Leah Mc Cormack - LM (County Treasurer)***

***Lori Thomas - LT (County Auditor)***

***Carrie - works in auditor's office***

**Washington Counties Risk Pool Presentation- Director Derek Bryan: Powerpoint (on wall.)**

**Standing Committees of Risk Management Pool**

Finance comm

Risk Management

Underwriting

Personnel

**County Appointed Positions**

Claims Administrator - Once claim is reported, becomes the contact

Risk Manger - Liaison with the individual at the county

Board of Directors

Director- SK

Alternate Director - Tanya

Alternate Director - Albert Lin

WDPR's Operational Structure

(Diagrams)

3 main depts

Admin & finance  
Claims  
Member Services

Administration  
Operational Budget  
Marketing and Underwriting  
Financial and Statutory Reporting  
Annual state audit  
Others

WCRP Finances  
Total Assets - 48,793,102  
Total Liabilities - 29,856,660  
Net Position (fund balance - (must be a certain level by state mandate) 18,916,105

IBNR - cut not yet reported - they have to have a reserve to cover those. Have to anticipated number of losses far above what has already occurred. ie - auto accidents, etc reported right away. Sometimes the lawsuit doesn't come for several years.

Net Position  
(Diagram showing the building up of the fund balance for services offered, scholarships, grants, and the insurance market that will change and the increases in premium and raising self -insured retention (SIR) Essentially, these are renting half from the insurance co) We are already seeing many more losses claims. We have had years without many claims, but now going up. So building fund balance since 2011 has been good.

WDRP/Okanogan Liability Program Assessments

5 year comparison

Chart - shows what all counties have paid over 5 years. Broken into 3 components. 1) Admin/ operating costs 2) Cost of buying insurance policies from insurance companies that protect in large losses 3) How much in claims are we going to pay out in terms of self-insured retention (total number the group needs to pay to ins co )

Not a lot of fluctuation in the amt that the members pay. We have built fund balance. We have actually gone down a million dollars in what the member pay. Clark county left, so that brought the total assessments AND claims - so it evened out. Anticipated pay-out coincides with what is being paid.

Green is OK county share year after year. Consistent.

Member Services

- 1) \*Loss Control
- 2) \*Member training and education
- 3) \* Educational Scholarships

- 4) \*Grants
- 5) \*Contract Reviews
- 6) \*Risk Consulting

This is the main reason to have risk pool INSTEAD OF insurance. When we are having loses, drives costs of the program - so we need to dive into reasons so we do not have those losses.

A lot of counties do a great job themselves, with own risk mangers, etc - some counties should be able to reach out and get info. There are 26 members to the pool.

## **Okanogan County**

### **RSI (?) MANAGER CERTIFICATION LEVEL: BASIC**

County Employees participation in training: limited. Hosted recent management and Supervisory Training. Lack of training is not lack of interest, but hard for people to take time off - we should get more in-house training . Why not online in spite of not as interesting? Inexpensive.

\*Lexipol Use: None. It is a Co that a lot of (?) depts use - membership driven training program  
Pool pays some of the costs - Looking for 80% reimbursement if some of the standards are met.

## **Scholarship and Grant Use**

### **CLAIMS**

Can't necessarily have an entire dept at county level, so using the pool

Adjust claims and manage defense attorneys

\*Handle Subrogation & Recovery

\*Pre-Defense Reviews - members can call ahead.

\*Electronic Reporting; Database management; Computer-Generated Loss Runs

Coverage: Liability, First Party Property, Cyber

Optional Coverages:

Crime, Enviro, Airport, Ferry Railrad, events, etc.

## **CLAIM FREQUENCY AND SEVERITY**

ALL COUNTIES 110-1-2013 through 4-29-2016

### **\*2 extreme examples:**

Road design and maintenance 389. Frequent, but not usually severe. Most claims will be for potholes, ice, plowing, etc.

Gov't operations and supervision - not a lot of losses, but expensive. Temperature across US now - once the media gets ahold of it, visible, the expense and costs go up. Settlements, defense expensive.

## **OK COUNTY**

Not a whole lot different. Note the comparison - same as others. LAND USE IS VERY HIGH. Actual dollar amts not very significant. Not only the number of losses - 14 climbs in last few years, is very good. (It includes all areas. Land use appeared to be the very highest. Shows good management. 13-14 claims

## **CLAIMS FREQUENCY**

**10-1-13 thru 4.29.16** (*Ed: Need to look at dates of county lawsuits*)

OK county pretty much the same as other counties.

If frequency = severity - that is an area to look at for trainings. Ours on land use 8%, pool was 2%. Okanogan county doing pretty well (Is this in relation to land use or overall?)

## **CONCLUSION**

How is the WCRP doing? FINANCIALLY STRONG, EXPERIENCED STAFF; READY TO INNOVATE.

Activity in road design/maintenance and vehicle operations : could improve.

We are active in scholarship usage, recommend committee involvement - will look at if they can help more with this for counties (Training. Consider Executive committee and use of Lexipol; continue to utilize Pre-Defense program.)

## **What is WCRP focused on for future? (This is not focused on OK county)**

**Corrections** - jails etc. (a large cost over all) Cyber Risk; Drones/UAE; Immunities; Environmental & Pollution.

**Drones** - if you are going to do it, get right policies in place and ID risks that come with drones before you buy one and damage things. Can violate privacy, injure people, violate FAA - how is info being stored and available to public?

**Cyber** - comes with different types of allegations. employees - - stored info; lawsuits from outside sources. County's actual money that can be ransomed or hacked into.

**Immunities** - Was state- waved sovereign immunity in the 60-'s. If we continue to be here and WA has chipping away of immunities - it can make for good covet (?) and good properties. Recreational immunity allowed landowners to use their property without being used. That is continuing to be a challenge in the courts - for most part, upheld, but getting into these things:

- 1) If you charge a fee, lose immunity
- 2) if you knew about the hazard - pool is looking at this. Govt can be sued like any private entity.

**Enviro and Pollution:** not a lot of coverage on this other than fires, smoke, sewage backups, etc. Keep in mind, there may be some coverages, lots of agencies looking at this, and there are going to be lawsuits.

### **OK Cty focus for future?**

1) Have policies and follow them.

2) Land Use/zoning - have spent last 7-8 hers without building, but now market is coming back. When people want to build, they will want permits for things not permissible. Need to be aware that land use and zoning will raise up again.

Proactive vs Reactive - If you are a pro-active rather than reactive person, focus a bit on this. We spend a lot of time focusing on things we have had claims for. It is also from a proactive standpoint, need to start finding ways to represent or eliminate risk before it happens. OK Cty - there is a lot of proactivity in Ok Cty. Does a drowning have to occur at the pool for us to focus on it? Should be more proactive re individual risk or projects you are going to have (Not just Ok county.)

I am about 6 months into my job appreciate the membership ; am willing to come back out.

This was an annual report.

RC - Good to understand where we are and that we do have a good risks manager (Tanya.)

25 member counties. Ok Cty losses are the number people will have. Number of losses - shows your good risk management. Talking through the risk on new projects.

### **QUESTIONS FROM COMMISSIONERS**

DT asks Joe if he is using Lexipol (from Sheriff's office.) Have a limited training budget, but if risk pool can pay for 80% we will look at this again. Also talks about "model policies."

*(Ed questions: do they cover mediation or not?)*

Questions? introduces Lin.

Executive Session:

Albert Lin requests exec session for 10? 15? minutes with only BOCC regarding possible litigation. (See below.)

\*\*\*\*\*

AL - Goes into the back hallway to talk with Bryan privately

JD - Talks about something he got on computer re Snopes. Says in a case about Obama/Kagan, discovered Snopes lied. No one responds.

AL back. 10:27 from hallway.

**Executive session occurs** - including BOCC and Albert Lin. 15 minutes.

Note taker leaves for 5 minutes, 10:42

10:47 - Return - Ray and Sheilah - talking about a meeting on the 17th. DeTro absent, Lin has left.

\*\*\*\*\*

## **FINANCE COMMITTEE**

**10:56 - Finance committee enters. Leah Mc Cormack, Lori Thomas, Cari Hall**

**LM** - my meeting should have been last week, but I was gone so postponed. Just gave cash on hand and balance

**Current expense** - just shy of 1 million 450. that includes the transfer of \$500,000

SK - I'd like to put that 500,000 back.

Since Pllt came in at 200 more,

One option — move the 500 back or 300 (not move all at once.)

Also, sales tax - obviously this month for April - about what is coming in tomorrow put just under 50% for revenue, but if you look at higher numbers going into next 6 months, we will be right on track, which is great. I agree, we could look at moving that money back. Have given you this.

We can go over individually.

SK - On county roads and ass'ts out of 7.8, 2.8 will go out, so we will see that amt go back 1.58. million in June for road maintenance.

JD - We put out press release on that, but of course the Chronicle wouldn't print it.

LM - Balances are just for your reference anyway.

LJ - Includes 500,000 transfer?

Yes, but ..... (Discussion of why it doesn't show up on some places. Not understood by note taker.)

## **Revenue and expenses**

For May, a little deceiving because June is kind of middle of the road and better look at it, so we pulled in the figures we could, as of today.

LM - % at end of May should have been about 45%. About 8.3% per month.

**Next one: revenue recap.**

Comparison with revenues this year thru May with last year they may.  
Want this part of the meeting to be short unless you have questions.  
Want to go right into budget.

**Budget**

Cari - New column for court. Compares this year with last year. Far right-hand column. % bold-ed. Thought this might be easier to just glance down. You can see positives and negatives. So it is 44% below last year's. (Ianie clarifies.)  
June was a little better.

LM - Last year, June looked better also.

Cari - Back page. At bottom, cash book summary. Putting out each time because it was on our audit . This is my way of showing that I am doing every single month in writing.

LM - We like to balance. Thinks that's pretty important. Part of our game plan. (laughter all around.)

*(Note regarding the below: Conversation seems at times to be conducted due to note taker's presence.)*

SK - There has been a comment that we have overspent our budget. *(relevant dates not given. )*What is your comment?

LM - Not sure what "they" mean by that.

LT - Also not sure what "they" mean either.

LM - Talking about recap?

LT - Current expense puts us below projected expenditures. *(Seems to contradict previous statement by Huston that he was already at 60% of his expected expenditures a few weeks ago.)*

JD - I just tell people just to look at the actual figures instead of all the deceit, lies, going around.

LM - I encourage them to call the office.

RC - It is lies and deceit.

Carrie - I have been putting it on the site.

JD = Most people won't do it.

LM - 42-42 - we are at 38%, so that is under.

LM - Will give a little bit of discussion about when those figures came out. We have a big software bill due in April. They let me put it off in June. About 80,000 or so. I give credit to the department.

SK - Lots of credit all around. it was good to bring people together.

LM - someone want to get the lights?

**PROJECTION ON WALL:** *(Goes rapidly, not possible for note taker to take notes and also attend to wall along with figures being read off. May not make sense to many who are reading except those directly involved with budget.)*

Carrie - What I did was use the budget worksheet and added CE where actuals were this AM where I could. Built in formulas. Gave estimation. Average month.

Actuals: doing this because I'm not sure what payments are quarterly or not. Might be easier to glance. Next column over is % optimized for budget line item. 50% or below. Would be nice to have over 50%.

JD - TV district collection 119%? Isn't that good?

Carrie - revenue side.

LM - More individuals, so were charged more.

JD - I think TV dist themselves should put out alert.

LM - that is their billing, not ours.

JD - Oh, I'm sorry. I pay anyway because it refers to senior citizens.

LM - that is what I charge them.

SK - what areas are concerned, or what questions should I ask?

LM - we were in a hurry, sorry about that.

LT - Does not include June's.

JD - some taxes not listed yet.

LM - June amt not there,

JD - PUD privilege lower, but higher than we budgeted for for revenue, but a little lower than last year's.

Carrie - payroll for June 30th in there, but this year 's (?) batches not in there.

LT - scrambling for ?? right now.

LM - Where to go from here? Carrie?

CA - I would look at any revenues at least 10% lower - we should be at 50%?

LT - Profit only 24%, but that includes only one payment so far, so I'm not concerned.

LM - Liguor profits another 26,000. Looks like on target to come in.

RC - Warijuana?

LM - We got in a whopping \$3,095.01. Estimation was we were told we'd get a little over 12,000.

SK - Misconception.

RC - Look at al the jobs created (laughs.)

LM - Gets a lot of calls. She says you need to tell me what I'am missing.

SK - Only other oppportunity would be sales tax, and we don't see that going up.

LM \_ It should be there already. So I think Lori is right - that's the only one that stands out.

LM - Are they purchasing from somewhere else for shops here?

LM - People say you are getting a lot in sales tax from us. She didn't know why they mentioned at the state (?) and they are not sharing it.

LJ - retailer has to pay.....if we derive sales tax from that vs ...

LT - state is getting a lot.

LM- maybe the city is getting it.

PH - wholesale is not taxable. They can't get the specialized stuff here, have to go to other counties. Their impression is that they are contributing so much to the county, but the bulk of the tax is still collected at then state level, and they are not sharing. You have to look at all the other sales taxes, whether steady or up or down. Theoretically one segment of economy will go up or down, offset each other. I think most of it is that there has been lots of \$, but not taxable events.

LJ - they are buying lots of equipment, but not here.

SK - I went out of comfort zone and viewed facilities. They had just sent a shipment to Thurston county (or to?) What sales tax collected here? Person said no , it would be collected in Thurston County.

LT - ?

Carrie - as of now, we are at 56.01% collected w/o the PILT and that sales tax at end of month (revenue.) Expended at 44.62% without AP this week. Ideal would be 50% on both. but.....we are right at target. We are actually doing really well.

LM - This is an identifier that we could put some of that \$\$ back.

SK - wants to put it all back.

LM - Considering we were teetering, we are doing well. ( Could the "teetering" be a reason it was thought by someone that they had overspent this year's budget?)

SK - We obviously didn't need to transfer, but we did it to cover.

LT - We did need to transfer for the payroll, but the sky didn't fall.

RC - What is exact amt re PILT? (Actuals.) \$2,421,820 spent to date. We budgeted 2.25. Received \$ 2.421.

JD - On the PILT, we were severely criticized for going to NACO conference, and this contributed to being able to collect.

LT - for those who criticized NACO events, if you don't represent, they are going to pull that money. I would actually advocate for attending those meetings. If you do get it, you need to be there.

RC - It is money well-spent to be a part of this event.

PH - Reading the line right: You budged 2 14/ (?) , I don't get the estimate of...

Carrie =— this is based on the .. the formula. came in twice. Promises to have those numbers....(can't follow, but it the bottom line is it is going to be .....

RC - That is if our pubic lands were managed correctly. Laughter all around.

LT - Some of our revenues come in monthly, some several times a year, etc....until you know, hard to formulate.

LM - Other example - says 10%, 10.639. See right - that is another one that comes in quarterly, so another 18,000. About 19,000 coming in this month.

LJ - incorrect as well. We only get one payment.

Carrie - Put in a bracket for me showing what month comes in. That will work. I appreciate it.

LM - We have a good statt. That one is explainable.

RC - Can we correct that now?

LM - we did.

Carrie - But not the public defense one. Click in the 29 there, click zero - no, click 21. (*can't follow.*)

SK - the total amount is right.

Carrie - % I gave you....

LJ - page is monthly.

Carrie - from budget perspective, hard....

PH - E-WAG Educated Wild-assed....technical govmt term.

LM - Some of them are a crap-shoot, that's for sure.

Carrie - excise tax, is that a quarterly, or a monthly..??

LM - monthly.

PH - that is real estate transaction.

LJ - I think we only pay this once a year.

LM \_ no, it's revenue. We bill the district that's what they pay us.

Carrie - 3 lines that are the rent, not sure what discussions where. I just left those line items in...wasn't sure.....

LT - Used to come in monthly, didn't they?

LJ - talks about noxious weeds, etc.

Carrie - we haven't received line items, etc.

LJ - Explains when payments are sent. Becca only the one that pays monthly. Not sure. We need to do a resolution....either do away with it, or do it.

LM - LT - Can we build this into direct cost allocation plan?

Carrie - I would be cautious. State aud office - #1 final cost allocation plan. sometimes hard to justify. Biggest mistake is it is not updated throughout the year. I think we need a resolution and we can slowly build stuff in. (*Talks about financial details - hard to follow.*)

LM - I will go on to line 56 under general investment. I will be going over that amt. because we were getting .1 off investment and now getting .4. Registered warrant interest - good news and bad news. where it doesn't hit on warrant side, will hit on (budget? ) side.

LM - anybody else see anything that might be a problem?

## INDIVIDUAL REVENUES

LT - some of this stuff is, um. The formula must be correct on records requests - I probably did budget fairly low.

Carrie - go to budget, that's an error..

LT - no , it's correct. We historically have not had a lot of revenue in that line. Requests to do searches. Auditor's ass'n thru legislation has had bills passed where we can actually charge a reasonable fee - used to be flat \$ so we can now recover our costs.

SK - Should look at that to help our health district.

LT - Looks like recordings are up a little bit, which is encouraging. The reason our recordings have gone down is the costs are so high unreal. people aren't doing it.

Carrie in the inter fund revenue public works, can you put a zero there? I don't think we will have any more. Line is left to column 3.

LT - overall at 47%, so right in the ball park. Marriage license not coming in as expected, you can always hope.

LM - even at 47%, not hitting summer, recordings will increase because excise tax moving better.

PH - getting more apps in for boundary line adjustments, etc. Had slow start because assuming weather

LM - this is your section, Perry. Any thoughts?

PH - We are off to slow start w application fees? The revenue we are showing is actually reimbursement from PW. Most of that is ordinarily the payt we get from sherrifs office, but we have reimbursement from .... PH - it is revenue we didn't budget for.

LM - overall 60, but what drove it was.....

PH - Upper Columbia is - we budget the contract, so reimbursable. In past, we have always budgeted the contract amt, but hasn't been as much activity so we may need to revisit....

LM If budget on revenue side, ....offset

PH - offset per se, but Char doesn't not get paid if she's not doing something for Columbia River...if she's doing 5 hours day for 2 days for Columbia River offset,,etc. We need to put a finer point on what we anticipate everyone will do. Sales — — a huge number, but at one time everyone would buy the road atlas but now they pick up the CD, which is only \$5. So I have to adjust that again.

LM - If we go on to clerk, All \$\$ isn't in until June, so these are May figures. Includes grant figures, everything.

LJ - A bid opening schedule on 11th of 12th? Hopefully they will get another company .....

LM - Hard on the 2 courts. They will not be receipted in until tomorrow.

LJ - Question about clerks and fire...no one sure.

LM - Not sure if this relates, but here is something - a lot of what comes into court is filed (?ere out to state re state agencies,) and there is something on the form about fire protection.

Carrie - when they got deposit request,,,the fees - some people convicted are charged more fees that other so if pre/medical, it may be used here but had not been used in awhile.

LJ - so fees are just maybe???

Carrie - the budget was at 31, but deposits happening at 230. on 133 and 134. There is a bar code for superior court vs general.

LM - moving on. I don't see numerics 4- almost 4— probably pretty close to their overall - will be close. We'll see what tomorrow brings.

LT - We have not made our deposit for June.

LM - we are flooded with all current expense departments at end of month.

Carrie - are the district court and superior ct - don't post until end of month too?

LM - They are pretty close to being over in May. They have done really well. They will definitely be over the 15% mark for June. Good, as well.

Lt - nothing that causes concern.

LM - electronic hall monitoring/ No one knows.

PH - a private operation in town that does electronic monitoring, I assume...

SK - When Yude Smith was here - doing the budget process....concerns that we were providing that service, where other companies were providing. we were paying more than needed, so both of our dist judges now want to continue that conversation.

LJ - ?

LM - But ever since implemented, the revenue has never come in at what was projected.

Carrie - We budgeted at 140 and we are at 138 as of yesterday....

PH - Doesn't match up. ....

LT - they say this every year, but it always comes in more.

LM - Line 199 or 200?

LJ - Dept of corrections is the other contract.

PH - They pay a minimum rate? so the one up above is???

LJ - it is mostly Douglas County.

LM - It is basically 198 and 199. Those two lines need to be on our radar.

RC - Maybe we need to have a discussion

SL - That's why they say ....

LJ - Local comes from ...

Carrie - the 198 vs the 199, what is the difference? Looks like it's booking and boarding fees....

PH - assume 199 is Doug Cty. 198 might be a subset of the cities. What line do they show up?

LM - could we put "cities" in there? It is confusing.

PH - when it says local, we didn't get into city bookings, vs felony bookings, etc.

*(Tanya comes in to answer questions re billing to jail, e tc. Answers questions. Clarifies on contract with Doug county, e tc. )*

Carrie - asks questions

Tany answers - about figures.

LM answers questions also, in re Douglas county.

Carrie - asks about cities.

LJ - she has several bar codes. They go dfferent places.

SK - So wouldn't next step be to, um - have each dept come up and re-look, after June stuff is posted, and go over the revenues with them, and go over their expenditures for 2016 so we can look at those again line by one so we can see savings that can occur. It would be helpful to have a better starting point.

LM - I agree that will happen when we get to the end to predict that carry-over. a discussion that definitely needs to happen. Juvenile - I don't know.

SK - revenues always pitiful. That trend continuing.

LJ - Why do they keep budgeting more than they get?

Carrie - Assume that they are budgeting by their awards.

PH - Same trap I fall into on Upper Columbia River, so your revenue predicts a lot more than we get because I'm not doing as much as the contract predicts.

LM - ON same token, theirs is a little different. Trying to remember last year and match up the grant. When we went to block grant, duped 146...last year they said it was all over the place, couldn't give us numbers. I'm not sure...unless I was absent that day, no answer on the block grant.

PH - Depends upon how it is structured ...that the rank and file of employees usually do, but they can't do it...doesn't go to block grant. You anticipate CCE expenditures subsidized by the grant

LM - I thought any grant from any dept that if you got it, you had to say this is that that grant spent. This has come up in the past...if the grant was for an employee and that goes away, \$\$ goes away.

PH - VSP for example - sometimes they are staff hours that are not overtime because they have to do it anyway. Anytime you are bringing in \$\$ that offsets existing staff, your expenditure stay at baseline, but your revenue you are anticipating doesn't come in. CHAR - when using others dedicated work time, we bill against grant, which offsets current expenses.

LM - difficult from BOCC position, if there is a block grant and they say it's all over the place...to try and track it, very hard.

Carrie - other depts, and how I would judge if I have 3 different contracts that pay for their salary, you normally - if paid out of admin dollars 75% but 25% from evidence based expansion, you would see costs located appropriately. I don't know if they can't do it that way...not sure why.

LT - it would be nice to see that they are (biking?) for their actual expenditures.

Lt - if don't have staff hours in corner section...

PH - Good point. We had this under banner of \_\_\_ task force.

Carrie - There is a really different ways to budget. you need not be as accurate as you can. If there is a section set aside for this grant, have budgets match exactly.

LM - it would help them as well.

Carrie - they are probably tracking by spreadsheet, and we can set them up so they can do it by.....

SK - Need to meet with them and t will make it easier on their end.

LT - it will be to benefit of the county.

SK - You are kind of short on staff, so we here going to.....

LT - staff will do their best to cover.

SK - Lanie has spread sheet, so we can look at that. We can set the next date and start setting the meetings weigh all the dept going line by line for budget review.

Other thing - so we still have funding in vehicle reserve funds? Cash on hand.

Carrie - we never did post what we were talking about in the system. By resolution, that is allocated unless you rescind.

LM - I recommend that you do.

SK - We can tell Sheriff they can go ahead with the one car. One broke down..,

LM - On Dan, got the resolution yesterday, so I directed him to do the transfer at the end of June, so his is taken care of. So the \$\$ will go into 197, and go into 197. so it is just the sheriff.

SK - we can move that one forward. If we rescind the resolution, it puts the 500,000 back?

LT - needs to be separate.

LT - I think we will be ok for 2016.

Ray - especially if no fires.

LM - suggest next week.

SK - So Wed, July 20th -

LM - that should be ok.

SK - In the meanwhile, we can work on resolutions.

*(Note taker asked to make comment. I pointed out that he was criticized not for simply attending a NACo conference, but due to the material in his Facebook post, in which he stated they were attending the NACo conference in order to lobby for an investigation into the "assassination" of Lavoy Finnicum and to lobby for transfer of federal public lands to states and counties and other info as to their purposes in attending. DeTro then made negative comment on the citizen comment delivered to BOCC in regards to transfer of public lands and American Lands*

*Council ("that doctor, whoever he was") claiming that the citizen's information about the American Lands Council was false. Other BOCC Campbell/Kennedy got up and quietly left. Note taker declined to argue and conversation ended. )*