

Board of Okanogan County Commissioners
Monday, May 3, 2021 AM and PM

JD—Jim DeTro, BOCC, District 3--absent
AH—Andy Hover, BOCC,vice-chair, District 1
CB—Chris Branch, BOCC, chair, District 2
LJ—Lalena Johns-clerk to the Commissioners
MG—Maurice Goodall, Emergency Management
PP—Pete Palmer, Director of Planning and Development
DG—David Gecas, Attorney for the county
CH—Cari Hall, County Auditor
JG—Jessie Grooms, Election Administrator
CN—Craig Nelson, Executive Officer, Okanogan Conservation District
DG—Dave Gecas, Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

These notes were taken by an Okanogan County Watch volunteer. Every attempt is made to be accurate. Notes are verbatim when possible, and otherwise summarized or paraphrased. Note takers comments or clarifications are in italics. These notes are published at <https://www.countywatch.org/> and are not the official county record of the meeting. For officially approved minutes, which are normally published at a later time, see <https://okanogancounty.org/Commissioners/Minutes%202014/March%204,%202014.htm>

The time stamps refer to the times on the AV Capture archive of the meeting on this date at <https://okanogancounty.org/avcapture.html> . To locate items in real time, the clock on the wall in the AV Capture screen can be helpful.

Summary of significant discussions:

46:30—AH and CB continues discussion of establishing the WRIA 49 Planning Unit that was previously discussed on April 19.

1:23:10—AH, CB and MG consider ways to improve the county's ability to notify people of emergency or other events. The commissioners received calls about spraying the Okanogan River bank recently and were concerned that people hadn't been notified of the spraying.

2:03:30—Planning update. Commissioners and PP discuss establishing subarea plans for the Methow Valley.

2:57:45—AH, CB and CN discuss Mazama water quality monitoring and the agriculture water bank. The state legislature appropriated money for the water bank in its recently passed budget, but the budget is not yet signed by Gov. Inslee and there are many questions about how the process would work.

4:15—AH—LJ emailed us the Quad Counties' CFLR (Collaborative Forest Restoration Fund Project) letter.

Discussion of spraying for weed control along the Okanogan River shoreline. People who live along the river weren't adequately informed about the spraying, and were told by the contractor that the spray contained 2-4-d, which CB says was in error. Suggestion is to have MG use the Everbridge system to alert people in the area when spraying for noxious weeds is planned.

AH—This brings up the topic of notification. AH and CB discuss various ways to keep people informed on upcoming meeting using the county's website. LJ brings up the problem of other departments not telling her about meetings, so she can't add them to the commissioners' agenda. AH—If every department had

Board of Okanogan County Commissioners
Monday, May 3, 2021 AM and PM

a place to put its meetings, it could populate our list of meetings. Maybe the new web site will help. Or maybe a calendar.

15:00—AH returns to the CFLR letter.

CB—Talks about the Dog Zone ordinance. Says they are supposed to mention the density and zoning of the area in the recitals for the ordinance.

CB briefly mentions Owen Quinn’s presentation to the commissioners on April 27 about his gold mine claim and his lawsuit.

AH—CFLRP letter. Is Commissioner Heath the head of it? I think the email is from him. After much fiddling with his computer, trying to get to his email, CB thinks that if the group as a whole, the collaborative, should write the letter of support. It would be a stronger letter than one member of the whole writing it. CB will respond, saying BOCC has no objection to the letter, but thinks it would be better if it came from the group. Discusses the pros and cons of running the forest like a business. AH—Financial analysis vs. social analysis.

AH will be gone Tuesday 10AM to noon. If JD is absent tomorrow, then there won’t be a quorum. LJ says JD has just called and says he will attend tomorrow.

46:30—CB—I met with the Initiating governments (IGs) on the makeup of the Planning Unit. Suggestion was that the meeting be quarterly. I talked about upcoming water-related stuff, and had a lively conversation. Todd’s (McDaniel) view is we should just do what we have to do and nothing else. But I say that things are changing all the time and we need to have a discussion with stake holders on things like water banking. Legislation, courts and situations change all the time.

AH—I thought the adaptive management portion in this addendum was to know which projects were being funded by Ecology, which were finished and tabulating that to figure out how much water we have.

CB—Part of it. But adaptive management is also adapting to change.

AH—When we talk about adaptive management elsewhere (not in the addendum) it will be part proactive, part reactive.

CB—But I talked to Todd about streamflow and reasons for it—fish, aesthetics and recreation. Cities need water to serve their growth, but also to make the wastewater treatment plan work.

After more discussion, CB says the suggestion is to have the representatives from the IGs and six members. Stakeholders vetted for interest and knowledge and live in the basin. Pretty much all at-large. Then, should the IGs share the criteria with their own governments? At least one or two very active member who was already on the commission.

AH—Another thing is keeping track of what projects got funded. I’d like to send a letter to DOE, saying thanks for accepting our plan. Any of these projects listed—pull them right out of the plan—that you fund through streamflow restoration, we’d like acknowledgment of.

CB—Yeah. Also I discussed with Goehner (Keith Goehner, Rep from the 12th legislative district) and conservation district, etc., because of the failure of the bills introduced about ag banking for the conservation districts, Goehner didn’t see what happened. Talked to Paul (?) about that stuff. He explained how everything transpired. There may not have been any kind of legislation that passed muster if it hadn’t been for that negotiation that happened and they rolled it into two budget provisos. Trying to figure out what it all means.

Board of Okanogan County Commissioners
Monday, May 3, 2021 AM and PM

AH—I think we should start putting together a budget re: water bank.

CB—We need to be together on this thing (regionally) or we won't get funded. He predicted it'd be maybe July before we know how much.

AH—Good reason to get our ducks in a row.

CB—Then I asked about purchasing water rights, Columbia River water, and he said “you mean Pine Creek water.” That's exactly what I mean, that being available to local governments, to the county, what does it mean? Do we have to use money from that proviso, or will Ecology give us the money to make the buy?

AH—That's in our plan addendum. We can apply for that.

CB—I want to make sure that it's not changing the game. There are different pots of money. We need to use the right pot. It's a Tom Tebbs question—to see what's changing in terms of available dollars to make purchases for the water bank.

AH—If we bought the water out of water banking funds, we have ultimate flexibility.

CB—One would assume. Wouldn't answer questions unless Ecology sends me a letter that says it's true.

1:05:55—AH—I'm good with the makeup of WRIA 49. I'm good with quarterly meetings until we get to a point where we have to adjust it. Need to designate the vice-chair as the Canvas Board person. Discusses his schedule for Friday.

1:13:05—LJ, AH and CB discuss an email about a bill from the Forest Service for \$100. Restitution for Salmon Creek Inc.

AH asks LJ if she's heard from the Auditor about signatures for the Dog Control zone petition. She sent it to them on March 15. She has not yet heard back.

Continued discussion about the bill from the Forest Service.

1:23:10—MG arrives. AH asks him about the bill, but he doesn't anything about it.

AH—We were discussing this morning the spraying in the river. The County Weed Board puts out notification in the paper. Lots of people don't see it. Can they just contact you and you'll put it out on Everbridge?

MG—Yes. It's not just that everybody gets to do what they want with it, but if it fits within the parameters, I'll put it out 100%. The system isn't working as well as it could but I keep pushing people to let me know if they want something announced. If it's not within the parameters, I'll let them know that, too.

AH—So I move to direct all of the departments under our supervision, to submit their public notices to Emergency Management for dispersal through the Everbridge system, if applicable.

CB—Anybody under our direction? OK, I second.

MG—And we make sure it fits.

LJ—Does it include our agenda?

MG—If something is special, yes. But not just the regular agenda.

AH—It's got a good process. Maybe the SWAC (Solid Waste Advisory Committee) meetings, special meetings, spraying roads, etc.

Discussion of calendars on the new website to show all the meetings and improvements that should be made on the new site. Also discuss how special meetings are advertised now.

Motion passes. AH asks MG if he'd like the BOCC to notify departments about this motion.

Board of Okanogan County Commissioners
Monday, May 3, 2021 AM and PM

MG—Water situation. River is coming up but not likely to flood. North Cascades Hwy may be open on Wednesday. Good snow pack up there.

CB—Thomas Debrosky was on the agenda to talk about purchasing the Highland Drive property, but has missed his window.

1:55:25—AH to LJ—Did you read the emails back from the Auditor.

LJ—Yes. I just responded that we haven't received the interoffice memo she mentioned, nor do I have anything from Jamie of March 15.

CB—But she'll bring it up at 11:30, right?

LJ—Amanda responded to email about the CFLR letter. I'll forward it to you.

AH—Craig Nelson from the Conservation District would like to talk to us about the Mazama water quality fund plus the water bank money, the \$125,000 that they were approved for. Can we schedule him for an hour at 1:30 today?

CB—I'd like to talk to Craig, too, about water banking.

LJ—I received an email from Ken McNamee of the DNR, wanting to know if the board would like to have an annual spring meeting if they have questions about the upcoming wildfire season preparation, tree planting, timber sales, land owner assistance activities, etc.

CB—And burn bans.

LJ—Also, because Bob McKeller has retired, he'll bring Pat Ryan, the new assistant region manager for state uplands management to introduce to you.

AH—Good.

AH and CB discuss the CFLR letter again.

2:03:30—PP—Planning update. Additions to her agenda. The DOE letter regarding our Shoreline Management Plan update which is due in 2023. And I wanted to update my leave day for the week.

PP—Advisory Committee. I've talked to John Sunderland, Isabelle, Lorah and Jim Gregg. The only Advisory Committee functioning right now is Mazama—Subarea A They've got a good website: MazamaAdvisoryCommittee.com So I'm asking for direction about how you want to move forward. There were informal groups but there was a Methow area advisory committee but it dissolved. Should be get new groups.

CB—Is there documentation for the Mazama group?

PP—Yes. They have appointment letters but without terms or expiration dates. Jim says he's got copies of all the letters of appointment, but I couldn't find any in laserfische.

LJ—I should have them.

PP—Could you send me copies? LJ—Sure.

AH to LJ—could you search the minutes for Mazama Advisory Committee. Look for a motion to approve it or how it was created.

PP—In Comp Plan it references these committees.

AH—I think I remember—prior to 2014 Comp Plan, what was the last year we had a plan adopted? 1960-something?

CB—In 1964, I think.

AH—In the 2014 Plan, subareas planning was established by More Completely Planned Areas (MCPAs), established by petition of 2/3 of the land area holders. When we had initial discussion, we thought it was registered voters within the subarea. If that piece didn't make it into the draft, we need to make sure that the way you get there (to subarea plans) gets designated.

AH—I'm looking for the map you sent, but I can't find it?

Board of Okanogan County Commissioners
Monday, May 3, 2021 AM and PM

PP—Here's a hard copy.

AH—Reading from the document. Covers basically Methow drainage from Winthrop north to Lost River. The MCPA includes all of that. Can you get me a map of that?

CB—Here's a problem: Conversation today is supposed to be about rescinding the Oroville Regional Planning Advisory Commission.

AH—We'll talk about that.

CB—But somebody took this further than what's going on in the Comp Plan ruling, but when we designate something in a Comp Plan and talk about appointments of people, I've never seen that. And it doesn't seem like the appropriate way to make advisory committees happen.

AH—It doesn't happen like that in the Comp Plan. All it does is outline how a MCPA would be formed. Doesn't talk about the process of public hearings, etc.

CB—So should that be established by resolution or in the Comp Plan.

AH—If you have MCPA or other subarea designations, and you put it in the Comp Plan, is it a policy statement that Okanogan County has subarea plans?

CB—Yes, and we could even put a map that shows it. But a process should be established if there are changes. Petition a Comp Plan amendment.

AH—So South Valley, for example, it's not a MCPA.

CB—I prefer to call it a subarea plan.

AH—So there is no subarea plan for south of the School District 350 line at Gold Creek. So in the new Comp Plan, we can have a policy that accepts subarea plans. We already have two that exist. Does it have to be addendums?

CB—No, they could just be Comp Plan changes.

AH—If we come up with an ordinance that says how a subarea plan is created, everybody in the south valley goes through that process and we accept it as a subarea plan. Where does the "ask" for the Comp Plan amendment come in? When they get their subarea plan done?

CB—Yes. But the question I have is...

PP—Just one thing. A lot of the comments that came in for the Comp Plan review from the Methow people suggested extending the lower Methow group to cover that south area.

AH—That would just be an Comp Plan amendment. They'd have to do whatever process we established.

CB—Well, the process we establish would be a proposed amendment to the Comp Plan, it's going through an adoption process right now. To amend the Plan, we may not have to make that change, unless you want to make sure that the area that's been traditionally the MCPA, if you want to see the buy-in before it gets into the Plan, here's what I suggest. There's an established area that it's been commonly understood, we adopt that into the Plan and there be a process that takes place to make that change in the Plan. So we don't hang the plan up to gain support for a change in the land use designation.

AH—It'll be a separate process. All we're doing is the policy statement not to put in the "how" but just to put in...

CB—...this is subarea planning and this is the way we describe it as we adopt this plan. If it's not fully described it...

AH—We have two that have been living under this planning documents already. So they go in as addendums. Then that's done with that. Then as BOCC, we need to come up with an ordinance about how subarea planning happens, and then after all that, they have to follow this process to get another amendment. But if it were a separate subarea, they'd have to have another addendum which would be another planning document for that part. Or, if there's a huge area that may be a main subarea plan, there has to be a process for landholders within that to petition to join the other one.

Board of Okanogan County Commissioners
Monday, May 3, 2021 AM and PM

CB—I'll read OCC 2.64.040, *Advisory Committees: Area advisory committees of sufficient number to provide the planning commission with adequate sources of advice and counsel on matters pertaining to specific areas within the county are established.*

AH—But that's just advisory. Subarea plans have separate zoning. Methow Review District has its own set of rules.

CB—If they're not just advising, we're creating separate zoning entities. The one in Oroville went too far, but this doesn't go far enough.

AH—But they're not the Planning Commissions. Those Advisory Committees are referring to the Planning Commission or for Comp Plan updates, to give their local input.

AH and CB go back and forth about Advisory Committees and whether they can make subarea plans. Will we provide the resources to prepare that plan?

AH—What about Oroville?

PP—I'll move it and the rest of my agenda to my meeting in the morning.

2:32:30—Auditor staff resolution. Also request for proposals concerning the voters' pamphlet.

CH—There's an employee working in a higher classification. It's a resolution backdating the raise back to Nov. 2020. It needs a budget supplemental.

LJ—It's on the Consent agenda tomorrow.

CH—This year we're required by law to do voters' pamphlet for the primary and general election. And next year we have to do one for special elections. But the state isn't going to pay for it because they don't have the money. So we have to pay. Unfunded mandate.

CH—I bought the software that the state uses (approx. \$230) and the state has shared the templates. I've got a person on the staff who can do the work. Now Jamie has worked with the papers that would publish. Sound Publishing has done the state's pamphlet. We recommend them.

AH—Reads the bids: Free Press Publishing, Consolidated Press, Sound Publishing, The Chronicle. Based on those numbers, Sound Publishing is the cheapest. Move to award the bid to Sound Publishing.

Motion passes.

CH—How often do we have to get new bids?

CB—We don't know.

AH—With the Lodging Tax Advisory Board, we established a policy for every three years. So if you establish a policy, then you just need to follow it.

JG—Did you resolve who'd come to the Canvas Board?

AH—Yes. I can be there.

CH—We'd like to go over our annual report with you in the 3rd week of May before we submit it.

LJ—Craig Nelson will be here for an hour at 1:30.

2:57:45—Craig Nelson, Exec. Director of the Okanogan Conservation District begins.

AH—You were talking about the Mazama water quality and the agriculture water bank.

CN—I watched your discussion on 4-26 about your fund for septic systems in Mazama.

AH—I think it was designed for that, but we don't really understand it. Where the money came from, what it's for, etc.

CN—So looking at that, a few things struck me. The overall water quality plan, we couldn't find a plan for the Methow. I've talked with DOE and local land owners who were looking at water shed planning 15-20 years ago about looking at quality monitoring and planning, and was told by both of them it's unnecessary for the Methow because the water is pretty clean. Problems are temperature related or

Board of Okanogan County Commissioners
Monday, May 3, 2021 AM and PM

flow related. Not arsenic or fecal coliforms, etc. Ecology does sampling once/month at specific points. They try to do it near a USGS flow meter, and there are several in the Methow watershed. They take samples. Long term sampling trend. Sometimes flow is too low to get a sample, or sometimes covered with ice. You have to have precise procedures and you have to follow them the samples to be accepted. DOE gets a lot of data presented to them, but don't accept a lot of them. They have to see there was a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP), maybe now called QAQC, but I can't remember what it means. CB—And DOE does it to satisfy federal requirements?

CN—Yes. It's very deliberative process. QAPP is really just a cookbook on how to take the samples, etc.

CB—Led to a plan for compliance in the Methow.

CN—Authority of Federal Clean Water Act Standards was delegated to DOE years ago, maybe the 1970s. We were going the wrong way with standards and they got sued by tribes and others. EPA got sued so they went after DOE and said—if you don't turn this around, we'll take back our delegated authority. So DOE, EPA, tribes, environmental groups and others came up with a work plan. Don't know where they are with that. Don't know if they're implementing it.

CN—When we were doing our water quality plan, they releases a 303-D list every two or three years. But I haven't seen one since 2009. They have an online system you can look at with lots of listings. Some are like pre-lists. And as many times as I've butted heads with DOE, I can't imagine the EPA would be better.

CB—The tribes applied for that delegation for the Okanogan?

CN—I believe so.

AH—So most of it is because DOE is doing that level of testing, can we use the money to inform us on land use decisions? You'll either try to clean your water up, or stop it from getting dirty. Ideas have been another thermal (something?) but it's expensive. Cold water refuges are important to fish. And Ecosystem Diagnosis and Treatment (EDT) modeling, but that takes long term monitoring to be useful. Is there something we need for water quality that we can get now? There's a funding source. Has to be able to lead to decisions for land use.

CB—It also has the potential if there were actual water systems that show signs of contamination, you'd be able to respond. That's happened.

CN—And if you're looking at (something?), and you were concerned about a particular area, you could get a group a people who were willing to flush dye packs down the toilet, you can see it in the surface water. Especially with infrared.

AH—Isolated incidents. But no direct point source saying this development resulted in nitrates in the water.

CN—Consider using the money as a match. Do trend monitoring for a couple of years. Temperature, etc. DOE will give you 75% of the cost. You can do a lot more testing.

AH—There's water quality money in the budget. Maybe invite someone from DOE to tell us about it.

CN—We get money per parcel, and we use that for our match. You've got to manage the grants and that's work.

AH—There's lots of data out there. I didn't realize that DOE was running the long term monitoring. We need to link that into the Watershed Council. People who talk about water quality development standards, etc. For us to make decisions on Comp Plan, zone code, etc., you need to point to data to drive your decision.

CN—There's lots of data, but it's unconsolidated. Not cross-referenced to other studies.

CB—Nobody has done studies to define the aquifers. So we have to make assumptions that they're connected to bodies of water. So to protect it is do the contamination out of the bodies. Plus well-head protection programs.

Board of Okanogan County Commissioners
Monday, May 3, 2021 AM and PM

CB—Water banking is another issue to talk about.

AH—But you're just talking about we should use our money to match it to do best monitoring as possible.

CN—Maybe you could partner with some other group and pool your match money.

CN—Water banks. There was \$85,000 this fiscal year and \$40,000 for the 2nd fiscal year for Okanogan Ag Water Bank.

AH—and \$2,000,000 set aside for Methow specifically.

CN—These funds are in different pots. \$125,000 is in Washington State Conservation Commission for them to enter into an agreement with DOE to create an Okanogan Ag Water Bank.

AH—Conservation District will head?

CN—Yes. Just an early discussion. Governor hasn't signed the budget and could line-item veto things. Background—working with Rep. Goehner about the need for an ag water bank. He introduced a bill, but it didn't pass, and so he asked Lorah Super and me if we'd be OK with submitting a budget request to the House to create an ag water bank. So we put a modest budget together. That's where the \$125,000 came from. Trout Unlimited, Yakama nation and other were trying to bring their own water banking proposal forward for \$10,000,000 for a grant program in DOE. Some tension with us, but was productive to support each other, and we both ended up with funding. Paul Jewell is the guy to talk to. He can give the details. They're going to create an ag water bank than anyone can tap into, expect with sideboards. Methow has \$2,000,000 dedicated to them, and there's nothing that preclude the Methow from applying for the other money as well.

CN—It has to be a public/private partnership.

CB—There has to be a government involved.

CN—It could be Twisp working with whoever.

CB—Benefit us to combine efforts and not compete.

CN—#1--I want to limit confusion. 45 water banks in the Methow would be chaotic. #2—We're focused on ag, and that might hinder use in the long run, but we might want to appropriate the water bank for some other use down the road. Budget is so new, and still unsigned, we still don't know how all this stuff will work.

CN—My intent is for both watersheds which is just about the whole county.

CN—Two things in the \$10,000,000 pot that make me nervous. #1 is the headwaters requirement. And I like what Paul Jewell and Peter Dykstra are saying, that if you have the headwaters in your county, you're OK. Basically the Methow will drag the rest of the county in. BUT, the Okanogan doesn't have its headwaters in the county, state or country. About ¼ in the US. But this doesn't affect our water bank. But being able to tap into their water source and 1/3 of the water having to go into instream flows. My concern is I think it benefits two groups that want to sell water: those who want to buy and dry the land. Also those groups looking specifically to put water into the streams. Maybe an irrational fear, but the sellers need to know the water won't be coming back.

AH—My interest is—calculating the amount of water you're utilizing. Will it be allowed to give up the whole water right?

AH—My question—There's \$2,000,000 and the \$125,000 to set up a water bank. We were talking about applying for some money. How will we do that? Private stuff can be weird and I saw what happened in Kittitas County. Really rich people building houses can buy what they want. But governments shouldn't be making a profit. Cover your costs and then redistribute the water to people in your county to increase the economy or keep your economy. Manage the public resource.

CN—Yeah. We need to figure out the best way forward.

CB—Asked about Pine Creek water right. I think there'd be funding to shift that pot of money over to them this effort because they can. They didn't answer that.

Board of Okanogan County Commissioners
Monday, May 3, 2021 AM and PM

AH—So, Chris, is it your thought not to purchase that water right with the streamflow restoration dollars?

CB—What I'd like, is there's talk of money available outside those dollars.

AH—How many transactions could happen if there was a water bank?

CN—In the Methow, not many. They'll be few and far between. One caveat. My employee took a message from someone when we were working with Goehner. We put the word out to weigh in on the bill. In just a few hours, we had a phone call from an irrigator who wanted to put his water in the bank today. I was floored. But he at least part of a water right he was ready to sell. So there's some interest at least.

AH—Budgeted for biennium. After two years, will it go away.

CN—We'll learn from people who'd be interesting in buying or selling. Does it need more time?

AH—Contradictory to environmental groups not to have the water go instream. If you lose an ag producer, you're losing the economy and the fire break, but you're putting more water in the river.

CN—100 years from now, we'll be able to tell what group had it right and what group didn't. But who knows? We do the best we can.

AH—My personal opinion—you're getting money to set up a water bank. And that \$2,000,000 is available, so could you as a public entity access that money. I want the county to have some guidance in these water issues to make sure everybody know we're working all together. I want a level platform.

CN—We want this to be as open and transparent as possible. How we set it up and how it operates.

CB—Don't know what would motivate a veto. Also, if you've got \$2,000,000 to make purchases.

CN—We don't know if you can apply for that money before you've got someone who is willing to sell. Or can you lock up the money before you have a seller. A group in Vancouver, WA could partner with a group in the Methow to set up a water bank. Nothing stopping that.

CB—Setting reasonable sideboards, but we don't know what they'd be. If you're in Odessa, can you access Methow water?

CN—Don't think it says both public and private have to be in the Methow.

AH—Reads from the law--\$2,000,000 provided for solely for qualified applicants LOCATED in the Methow River basin.

CN—I like that.

CB—That's why support for the Conservation District is so important. Goehner said he needed to know what was going on up here.

AH—Reads more—In relation to the \$2,000,000, grant awards may only be used for development of water banks in rural counties, acquisition of water rights appropriate for use in a water bank, including costs necessary to evaluate water rights for eligibility, activities necessary to facilitate the creation of a water bank. (So you can tap into the \$2,000,000 to set up the water bank.) He goes on to say—Outside of the Methow River basin, grant awards may only be used for development of water banks in rural counties that have the headwaters of a major watershed within their border and only for water banking strategies within the county of origin. I think if we have this money available.

CB—That's the part where the county and Conservation District and other players who approve of this would be very helpful.

CN—I've sent out a poll to people involved in this—have a meeting to where are we? Who's interested in doing what?

CB—If you were talking to others in the county—farmers, ranchers, people who live here, would BOCC concurrence that we should proceed in this effort with the Conservation District help get thing in perspective?

AH—Because we as the county aren't set up to do this. If we have an MOU with you, then I agree. And this is also a pilot program, so we have to do good work to continue.

Board of Okanogan County Commissioners
Monday, May 3, 2021 AM and PM

CN—And there will be people watching.

AH—I move to continue discussion of the development of a water bank with the Okanogan Conservation District and Okanogan County.

CB—I'd rather have stronger language to support an effort....

AH—I want to know sideboards. It's not a blank check. I amend my motion to say "support an effort". Motion passes 2-0.

CB—Another question. You said you didn't want to restoration dollars to....

CN—You can only use Pine Creek for single family dwellings. That's my only reason. It's the limitation of the fund source.

AH—Also, in that list of projects, are we going to get a letter telling us what projects funds are applied for? We want to be notified.

CN—I have no idea if they'll do that.

AH—I'll write a letter to tell them to notify us.

CN—I gotta run.

3:56:30—CB to AH—Are you satisfied with tomorrow's agenda, because I'd like it to be predictable. We started out a little bit fragmented and that was bothersome.

AH—Let's review. We started at 9:00 to review the agenda.

CB—And proceed in an organized way. I thought you might have had a pot of coffee before you go here.

AH—I'll write it down next time.

CB—You let the email drive it, and I couldn't get into my email.

AH and CB discuss Tuesday's agenda.

DG—Do you have room for an executive session tomorrow?

CB—We do today, right now.

Executive session to discuss potential litigation. RCW42.30.110.1(i) 20 minutes. With Angie included.

After the 20 minutes, BOCC adjourns.